



**CITY OF ANNISTON, ALABAMA
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM
AND
ANNISTON CALHOUN COUNTY HOME CONSORTIUM
HOME PROGRAM**

**CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT
(CAPER) – PROGRAM YEAR 2014
OCTOBER 1, 2014 – SEPTEMBER 30, 2015**

PREPARED FOR SUBMISSION TO:

**THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA**

SUBMISSION DATE: DECEMBER 29, 2015

PREPARED BY:

**CITY OF ANNISTON
1128 GURNEE AVENUE
ANNISTON, ALABAMA 36201**

**WITH ASSISTANCE FROM:
WFN CONSULTING
123 CHURCH STREET, SUITE 200
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060**

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The City of Anniston made significant progress in addressing its Consolidated Plan strategic priorities and its priority goals from the PY 2014 (October 1, 2014-September 30, 2015) Action Plan. All planned activities reflect the priorities established within the approved Five-Year Consolidated Plan. Total new 2014 CDBG funding available was \$539,111.42 (\$528,169 awarded by HUD, and \$10,942.42 in program income) and \$376,247.84 in HOME funding (\$333,179 awarded by HUD and \$43,068.84 in program income). A total of \$1,154,520.95 in CDBG funds was expended and drawn from HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS) for the Program Year 2014. HOME Program funds expended and drawn from IDIS for Program Year 2014 totaled \$120,974.11. (Sources: PR03; PR26; PR23 – 12/02/2015).

Numerous activities, including program administration, were funded under the categories of public services, public facilities, public improvements, elimination of slum/blight, housing rehab, acquisition and affordable housing development. A large portion of the CDBG award (\$204,312) was allocated toward public improvements, while \$81,130.39 was awarded for public services activities. Expenditures from CDBG funds during the year were: public facilities - \$812,875.35, public services - \$77,525.07, clearance and demolition - \$123,885.14; homeowner rehabilitation - \$31,720.00; and program administration \$108,515.79.

HOME Program funding disbursed and drawn from IDIS during Program Year 2014 (\$120,974.11) was expended for rental projects (\$87,752.50) and for Homebuyer activities (\$33,221.61).

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Affordable Housing/Support Services - Homeless	Homeless	CDBG: \$25000 / HOME: \$0	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	10	10	100.00%	10	10	100.00%
Affordable Housing/Support Services - LMI Persons	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$98725 / HOME: \$311566	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	10	10	100.00%	4	7	175.00%
Affordable Housing/Support Services - LMI Persons	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$98725 / HOME: \$311566	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	6	4	66.67%	5	2	40.00%
Affordable Hsg/Support Services - Special Needs	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$7000 / HOME: \$0	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	17	3	17.65%	2	3	150.00%
Eliminate Slums and Blight	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$110000 / HOME: \$0	Buildings Demolished	Buildings	6	3	50.00%	3	2	66.67%

Improve Public Facilities/Public Infrastructure	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$214814 / HOME: \$0	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	15000	7465	49.77%	15000	7465	49.77%
---	-----------------------------------	----------------------------	---	------------------	-------	------	--------	-------	------	--------

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

All funded activities were consistent with the priorities established in the Five-Year Consolidated Plan and the specific objectives included in our Action Plan. Per the Consolidated Plan, the highest priorities in 2014 was to provide essential public services, decent and affordable housing, improved access to public facilities and public services, and clearance and demolition of services.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME
White	8,196	2
Black or African American	22,102	2
Asian	0	0
American Indian or American Native	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0
Total	30,298	4
Hispanic	206	0
Not Hispanic	30,092	4

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

The racial and ethnic characteristics of beneficiaries of Program Year 2014 activities were representative of the population of the reporting jurisdiction.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG		1,614,507	1,154,521
HOME		1,038,537	120,974

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

Major public facility activities undertaken in PY 2014 included the installation of an elevator at the Unified Resource Center (IDIS 700), the West Anniston Wellness Trail (IDIS 710), Salvation Army Women's Shelter (IDIS 713), Carver Park Phase 2 (IDIS 724), Nettles Park (IDIS 725); and West 17th Street Improvements (IDIS 726).

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
Anniston/Citywide	49	54	Entitlement Community
Consortium Wide	39	34	Multi-jurisdiction
CT 600, BG 1	5	5	CDBG Entitlement Funds
CT 800, BG 3	7	7	CDBG Entitlement Funds

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

The City of Anniston utilized a majority of its CDBG funds for projects that serve CDBG-eligible populations who live throughout the city. During PY 2014, the percentage of project funds benefiting low- and moderate-income persons totaled 88.16% (Source: PR26). In addition, the HOME Program funds largely served the Anniston area of the Anniston Calhoun County HOME Consortium.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

No federal funds expended during PY 2014 directly leveraged other resources; however, without the funding from the CDBG and HOME Programs, essential services, improved public facilities, and safer and sanitary housing might not have been possible. While the CDBG Program does not require matching funds, the HOME Program ordinarily includes a 25% matching requirement. The Anniston Calhoun County HOME Consortium has received waivers from the HOME matching funds requirement every year since the ACCHC was created due to Federal natural disaster declarations (PR33).

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	0
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	0
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	0
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	0
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	0

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
Not Applicable Due to Match Waiver	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
1,820	36,118	35,958	0	160

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			
Number	0	0	0			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0			
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			

Table 8 – Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0	0			
Businesses Displaced		0	0			
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0	0			
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0	0			
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

DRAFT

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	5	10
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	0	2
Total	5	12

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	0	0
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	3	4
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	5	8
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	2	0
Total	10	12

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

Overall, housing units assisted equaled the Action Plan 2014 goal, but some subcategories were slightly more than or slightly less than the Action Plan goals.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

No impact.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine

the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	2,295	1
Low-income	492	3
Moderate-income	89	0
Total	2,876	4

Table 13 – Number of Persons Served

Narrative Information

Some housing project delays occurred during Program Year 2014 due to staff turnover, but a new consulting firm, WFN Consulting is assisting the City in its planned effort to accelerate its housing production during Program 2015.

DRAFT

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The City continued to work with the local Continuum of Care to reach out to homeless persons. Local non-profits provide assessment of individual needs. The City worked closely with both in order to address reducing and ending homelessness.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The City provided HOME funds to a non-profit developer for a planned unit development that will allow the developer to construct 4 new units of affordable housing. CDBG funds were also made available to the Salvation Army for placement of a new roof on their emergency women's shelter. The City has funding budgeted in PY 2015 for acquisition and rehab of properties for use as transitional housing.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

The City worked with the local public institutions and systems of care by aiding them to find emergency shelter, transitional housing, and affordable rental housing for their patients/clients through the local CoC and non-profit agencies.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

The CHDO used HOME funds to rehab 5 units of affordable rental housing.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

Four public housing authorities (PHAs) are located in the Anniston Calhoun County HOME Consortium (Anniston, Jacksonville, Piedmont and Hobson City). The Anniston Housing Authority is the largest of these agencies. No CDBG funding was allocated to public housing activities in PY 2014. HOME Program funding may not be used for public housing projects. Although no funding was awarded in PY 2014, City/Consortium representatives maintain a meaningful dialogue and coordinate their respective strategic and tactical planning processes.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

Public housing residents are encouraged to provide input to their respective PHAs and are also invited to participate in the public engagement processes carried out by the City/Consortium. The City encourages Public Housing residents to learn about their Fair Housing rights and provides HUD Fair Housing materials to interested individuals.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

No troubled PHAs in Anniston or the other member cities of the Consortium.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

According to the recently completed Analysis of Impediments, public policies with regard to land use, tax policies, zoning, building codes, fees, growth limits were not noted as barriers to affordable housing. Tax policies were deemed neutral, zoning ordinances permit a variety of housing types, neighborhood configurations, and lot sizes, the majority of land is zoned for residential use, and limited residential development is allowed in non-residential zones.

Strategies to remove or ameliorate affordable housing barriers included:

1. Development of affordable single and multi-family housing under the HOME program
1. Rehabilitation of single and multi-family housing under the CDBG program
1. Execution of a contract to provide fair housing education and outreach services
1. Demolition of condemned properties to eliminate slums and blight

CDBG and HOME funds were allocated for these purposes in PY 2014.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Plans to meet underserved needs include the continued provision of funding for public service activities that focus on LMI families, youth and the homeless, and continued participation in the CoC. Priorities were established based on community input, feedback from service providers, demographics and community data. The primary obstacle to addressing underserved needs is limited financial resources. Actions to address this include the leveraging of other funds (General Funds, ESG funds awarded by the State, etc.) to achieve greater impact.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

See response above.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City's goal to "Provide supportive services to LMI families" is its primary poverty-related goal. Efforts to reduce the number of families in poverty included the provision of financial support to organizations which have a mission of assisting households in poverty and providing them with the skills and opportunities that can allow them to become self-sustaining. Funds were allocated for programs which include Pre-K, after-school and summer educational opportunities for children and youth in LMI families to provide a solid foundation for high educational achievement which is correlated with reduced poverty. Funding is also allocated for a summer employment program for LMI youth residing in Anniston to provide them with the skills and training necessary for success in the workplace. Policies with regard to poverty will follow federal guidelines in that 70%, at minimum, of all CDBG funding will benefit LMI individuals.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City serves as the grantee and administrative agent for both CDBG and HOME. In PY 2014, the city continued to develop institutional structure by assigning a full-time Community Development Coordinator (internal personnel) to administer the program with support provided by contract-based program management consultant. Additional city personnel providing support for program administration include an administrative assistant, finance department staff, and housing inspectors.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City continues to use multiple strategies to enhance coordination between agencies. This included the allocation of General Fund, Federal, and state dollars to support the provision of services within the covered jurisdictions, as well ongoing communication and consultation with housing and non-housing service providers, public housing authorities, other local jurisdictions, and public agencies. In the HOME program, the City maintains a strong and productive relationship with Habitat for Humanity and with its CHDO, Northeast Alabama CDC, in producing affordable housing units.

In PY 2014, the allocation of General Fund dollars to public service agencies continued to be outsourced to and managed by the Community Foundation of East Alabama resulting in the leveraging additional support for non-profits engaged in community work. Several service providers are currently funded under both the City's Operating Budget using General Funds, as well as the CDBG program using federal funds. The City continued to fund agencies engaged in public services within program limits. In order to

ensure transparency, increase efficiency, and avoid the possibility of allocating two different sources of funding for the same purpose or expenses, the City provided the Community Foundation with award information, including a summary of expenses covered under CDBG.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

The 2013 Analysis of Impediments, prepared by the East Alabama Regional Planning and Development Commission, includes a discussion of barriers to affordable housing. Strategies to remove or ameliorate affordable housing barriers included:

- Development of affordable single and multi-family housing under the HOME program
- Rehabilitation of single and multi-family housing under the CDBG program
- Execution of a contract to provide fair housing education and outreach services
- Demolition of condemned properties to eliminate slums and blight

CDBG and HOME funds were allocated for these purposes in PY 2014, except for the fair housing education/outreach which was funded in PY 2013.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

The City of Anniston is the grantee and lead agency responsible for the management and implementation of the CDBG program and the Calhoun County HOME Consortium program. As grantee, the city is the sole entity responsible for administering and managing program funds, awarding funds to sub-recipients, managing contracts, monitoring program activities, and ensuring project completion and compliance with program guidelines. Overall efforts are guided by the development of a Five-Year Consolidated Plan, which identifies and prioritizes community needs and outlines strategic goals and objectives. Year-to-year efforts are directed by an Annual Action Plan, a one-year use of funds identifying specific projects and activities to be undertaken, as well as goals and objectives to be achieved. Standards and procedures for monitoring activities include the collection of monthly progress reports from sub-recipients, monthly financial monitoring for adequate drawdown of funding, as well as monitoring of sub-recipients, which included site visits with selected providers. Long-term compliance is ensured through ongoing and routine management and oversight, data collection and timely report preparation, and communication with regional field office staff as needed. Efforts to increase participation of minority business include the development of self-certification forms for Section 3 participation and outreach to minority vendors for program involvement. Compliance with comprehensive planning requirements were guided by program guidelines and regulations, including adherence to the priorities of our five-year Consolidated Plan, including our approved Citizen Participation Plan and accompanying public participation requirements.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

In accordance with our approved Citizen Participation Plan, all requirements for public participation have been met. An advertisement was placed in the locally circulated newspaper notifying residents of the opportunity to review and comment on the CAPER. The report was also made available on our publicly accessible website. A fifteen day comment period was met prior to the submission of the report. No comments were received.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction’s program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

During PY 2014 the City increased funding for public facilities needed to improve their accessibility to residents of predominantly low-income parts of the City. Extra efforts were also made by City staff and consultants to increase the expenditure rate for both CDBG and HOME funds.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?	No
--	----

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

DRAFT

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

Inspections were not performed because all projects were still underway at the end of PY 2014.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

No projects included 5 or more units.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

The Consortium expended HOME program income (\$9,741.53) on 2 Habitat for Humanity homebuyer housing units and \$51,595.00 on two rental units. The 2 Habitat homebuyers households were: Black/African American (2); 30%+-50% AMI (1); 50%+-60% AMI (1); 3 persons (1); 4 persons (1). The 2 CHDO (NE Alabama CDC) rental units were occupied by: White (2); 50%+ - 60% AMI (2); 4 persons (2).

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

The Consortium allocated HOME Program funds to address the affordable housing needs of low-income renters, special needs renters, and homebuyers in Action Plan 2014. The rental projects included two new construction units and 5 rehabilitation units. The 2 homebuyer units were new construction by Habitat.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only-Does Not Apply to Anniston)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	ANNISTON
Organizational DUNS Number	075467563
EIN/TIN Number	636001182
Identify the Field Office	BIRMINGHAM
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	

ESG Contact Name

Prefix
First Name
Middle Name
Last Name
Suffix
Title

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1
Street Address 2
City
State
ZIP Code 36201-
Phone Number
Extension
Fax Number
Email Address

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix
First Name
Last Name
Suffix
Title
Phone Number
Extension
Email Address

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 10/01/2014
Program Year End Date 09/30/2015

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name
City
State
Zip Code
DUNS Number
Is subrecipient a victim services provider
Subrecipient Organization Type
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount

DRAFT

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 14 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 15 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 16 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 17 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 18 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	
Female	
Transgender	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 19 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	
18-24	
25 and over	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 20 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans				
Victims of Domestic Violence				
Elderly				
HIV/AIDS				
Chronically Homeless				
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill				
Chronic Substance Abuse				
Other Disability				
Total (unduplicated if possible)				

Table 21 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units – Rehabbed	
Number of New Units – Conversion	
Total Number of bed - nighths available	
Total Number of bed - nights provided	
Capacity Utilization	

Table 22 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

DRAFT

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2012	2013	2014
Expenditures for Rental Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services			
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program			
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention			

Table 23 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2012	2013	2014
Expenditures for Rental Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services			
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program			
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing			

Table 24 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2012	2013	2014
Essential Services			
Operations			
Renovation			
Major Rehab			
Conversion			
Subtotal			

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2012	2013	2014
Street Outreach			
HMIS			
Administration			

Table 26 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2012	2013	2014

Table 27 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2012	2013	2014
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds			
Other Federal Funds			
State Government			
Local Government			
Private Funds			
Other			
Fees			
Program Income			
Total Match Amount			

Table 28 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2012	2013	2014

Table 29 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

**CITY OF ANNISTON, ALABAMA
PUBLIC NOTICE
PUBLICATION DATE: DECEMBER 11, 2015**

**CITY OF ANNISTON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM
AND
CITY OF ANNISTON/CALHOUN COUNTY HOME CONSORTIUM**

In accordance with 24 CFR 91.105(d) and 91.520 the City of Anniston, Alabama has prepared its Program Year (PY) 2014 Draft Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) for the period October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015. The CAPER includes a summary of programmatic accomplishments and an assessment of progress toward the priorities identified in the City's Five-Year Consolidated Plan (PY 2013-2017) and the PY 2014 Action Plan. Programs covered under the CAPER include the City of Anniston's Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program and the Anniston/Calhoun County HOME Consortium's HOME Program. Copies of the report are available for inspection at the locations listed below between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except legal holidays.

City of Anniston
Attention: Community Development Coordinator
Anniston City Hall
1128 Gurnee Avenue
Anniston, AL 36201

Anniston-Calhoun County Library
108 East 10th St.
Anniston, AL 36201

The Draft CAPER 2014 is also available online at www.anniston.al.gov.

A public hearing will be held on December 17, 2015 at 6:00 P.M. in the Old Council Chamber at Anniston City Hall to permit residents of Anniston to provide comments on the Draft CAPER 2014. **For individuals submitting written comments, all public comments must be submitted on or before December 28, 2015 by 4:00 p.m. to the following address:**

City of Anniston
Attention: Community Development Program Coordinator
P.O. Box 2168
Anniston, AL 36202

The City will consider all timely written views and comments received in developing its Final PY 2014 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report.